

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Arturo Palma Cortez

Date of Original Judgment: February 4, 2003

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: December 2, 2009

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 5:02-CR-166-1 H

USM No: 23111-056

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____

The amendment is applicable to the defendant, but does not have the effect of lowering the guideline imprisonment range.

If the amount of time the defendant has already served exceeds this sentence, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" sentence, subject to an additional period of up to ten (10) days for administrative purposes of releasing the defendant.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment(s) dated February 4, 2003, and December 2, 2009, shall remain in effect. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: 6/10/12

Effective Date: _____

(if different from order date)

Malcolm J. Howard, Senior U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title